G R S 58]

Previous references

234-09-1
324-07 (1-3)

1910
(Education)

File No 104-10

Serial Nos 1 & 2

Later references

Edn 104-10 (3-6)
CB 259-44-162

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1 & 2

Part B for Decr, 1910

SUBJECT

The question of treating the Principalship allowance of Mr Denham as personal

---

1. From the Govt of Edn no 2009/64 dt 8 9 10 forwards a letter from Mr J Denham, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, requesting that the allowance drawn by him as Principal may be treated as personal as was done in the case of Messrs Weir & Tait

2 To the Govt of Edn & Compltr, no 4692-3 dt 1 12 10 States that Mr Denham who was appointed Principal subsequent to the date of the G O no 9876-80/Edn 342-06-9 dt 20.5.08 cannot claim the allowance as he that the case of Mr Tait stands distinct a separate G O no 10223-5/Edn

GPB—5 000—25-10-10

GRS 11] 1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Education)

NOTES

File No 104-10

FILE HEADING

The question of treating the Principalship allowance of Mr Denham as personal.

From The Dy Sec to Govt

No 2609/164 Dated 8/9/10 Received 10/9/10

Diary No 7741 | Enclos 1 | Spare Copies | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Forwards a letter from Mr T Denham, M A, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, requesting that the allowance drawn by him as Principal, may be treated as personal as was done in the case of Messrs Weir & Tait.

NOTES AND ORDERS

X Ref In G O No 1023-5/Gen-230 dt 5/3/1899 personal allowances of Rs 250 and 200 respectively were sanctioned to the Principals of the Central and Maharaja's Colleges. (Vide para

P.T.O

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15 000—24 4 09

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Govt File No 104 of 1910-11

Serial No

2 After the retirement of Mr Cook Mr J.G. Tait was appointed Principal but the allowance was reduced from Rs 250 to 200 (Vide Govt 3 X 24-07)

3. In G.O No 98 X 76-80 / Govt 324-06 dt 30/3/1908 sanctioning certain arrangements for the Reorganisation of the colleges, the allowance for the Principalship of the two colleges has been fixed at Rs 200 (Vide para 2) Therefore the order of March 1909 has been superseded by this order Under the order of March 1908 the allowance cannot be treated as personal.

4 In the case of Mr Tait however, the allowance has been ordered to be treated as personal in the sense of the Govt order of

NOTE—Contn sheet

BPG—53 000— 7 4-09

Serial No

The 5th March ~~1909~~ 1899. [Vide Ed. 284-09
(and to be held continuously by him on whether on duty or leave)

5 But Mr Tait was appointed Principal on the 27th March 1908 i e 3 Days before the order Sanctg the Reorganisation of the Colleges was issued and So his allowance ~~had to~~ has had to be treated under the terms of the order of March 1899

6 Mr Denham says that he took up the appointment on the understanding that he Succeeded to the usual allowance of the office of Principal - Mr Denham has been appointed Principal only from 1-7-1910 and ~~his allowance can~~ the treatment of his allowance will have to be guided only by the terms of the recent order i e the order of March 1908.

NOTE—Contn sheet

BPG—55 000—27 4-09

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 104 of 1910-11

Serial No

7 Submitted for orders
hm sig
12/9/10

8 The note is clear
There does not appear to be any doubt that the allowance in the case of Mr Denham is to be a "local" allce only and not a "personal" allce
(Secretary)
hm
14/9/10

9 I think it may be definitely ruled that the Principal's allce is a local allce (except in the case of Mr Jant)

Dl Nos 223
Std
17/9

10 Br U for opinion
ebb
16/9/10

Sub2
DPB
17/9/10

11 Mr in reality a local MLA there is no financial objection to the issue of the orders proposed - Mr Acts' Caveat has an Exception for Income till one of the Local MLC -
k
17.9.10

12 Submitted
hm sig
19/9/10
(Secretary)
hm
19.9.10

Devan through 2nd Cr

529

13 I think it does do mistake to make the allowances other
ebb
21/9/10

NOTE—Contn sheet

BPG—55 000— 7 4 09

1761 5

Serial No

than local at any time. When ~~call~~ calling them "strictly personal" (as though it were less favourable than the other kind) I think Govt had in view more the prevention of acting men or successors claiming it, than of making them 'personal' in the proper sense

14 Even the order of 1908 does not say that the allce should be "local" allce

15 As the Secretary suggests we may now definitely say that it is "local allce"

Dewan

28/9/10

8223
2 10 10

16 The order may say that "it is a local allowance, but this declaration will not prejudice the case of Mr Tait which stands disposed of under another order" or words to that effect, to show that no wrong distinction was meant by not saying that the latter was a mistake! Ltr Nov 20

BPG—55,000—7.4.09

G R S. 12.]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Con File No 1021 of 1910-11

Serial No

17 Putup draft
MM 4/11/10

18 Draft Submd
MM/mg 5/11/10 Dewan
MM 7/11/10

20 2nd C

MM 8/11/10

42

21 I think the draft may be approved. I did not think that this had any practical effect except when the Offr went on leave. However from a letter of Mr. Denham I see that it affects him monthly. [The letter is attached — ~~Pic~~ Pl for perusal & Kind return]

Dewan

10/11/10
MM 11/11/10

* Returned 11/11/10 KK 11/11/10

8698
12.11.10

22 Draft approved by Dewan
CBB 26/11/10

23. Issued MM 29/11/10

NOTE—Contn sheet

GEB—55 1911—7 4406

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Cdn File No 104 of 1910-11

Serial No 2

To Dfg of Edn & Comptroller
No 4692-3 & 1 12 1910
States that the allowance fixed for the Principalship of the Central and Maharaja's Colleges under GO No 9876 80 Edn 342 06-9 d 30 3 1908 is strictly a Local Allowance, that Mr Denham who was appointed Principal subsequent to the date of the said order cannot claim it as a personal allowance and that the case of Mr Tait stands disposed of under a separate order — GO No 10223 - 5 Edn 230 & 5-3 1909

Dear Sir,

Please receive Mr Denhams letter of the 30th oct'10 and acknowledge receipt Vide 2nd cr's note on Page 6 of the notes. (Personal issue to 2nd cr)

Kpk 2 yrs sanc 11/1/11

Letter received with thanks

Usr 13/1/11

NOTE—Contn sheet

(Copy Extract)

1741 Edn 104-10-1

Edn 81 Office of the D.P. of Education in Mysore, Bangalore 8th Sept 10

No 2609/164 Inc one

From

J. Wen Esqr M.A
I.S. of Education

To C.S. Balasundaram Esq BA
Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of H.H. the Maha Raja, Genl. & Revl. Depts
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith at Mr Denham's request a letter applg for ××××
and for a revision of the Comptroller's ruling regarding his allowance as Principal

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd) J. Wen
Inspr General

(Extract)

Gen No 55 of 1910

Maharaja's College
Mysore Sep - 7th 1910

From

The Principal
Maharajas College,
Mysore

To

C S Balasundaram Esq BA
Secretary to Govt

Through J Wen Esq M A
I S of Education

Sir,

(1) × × ×

(2) The Comptroller has informed VIIIII me that the allowance of Rs 200/- due to me as Principal "is of the nature of a local allowance and cannot therefore under the rules, be included in Salary" I do not understand why any change has been made in my case, the allowance to Mr Weir & Mr Tait having been considered as "personal" I trust that Government will make the necessary alteration, as I took up the appointment on the understanding that I succeeded to the usual allowance of the Office of Principal. I hope that Government will give their early consideration to the matter

I have the honor to be
Your obedient Servt
(Sd) Thomas Denham

GRS 28]

File No 104-10
Serial No 2

Any No of letter replied to 1741

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Date of issue

Signed by

READ—

1. Letter No 2609/164 of the 9th Sept 1910 from the D.P.I. of W&C in which he recommends for orders a letter from Mr T. Denham, Principal, Maharaja's College, Mysore, ~~ORDER THEREON—TO~~ ~~Reporting that the allowance~~ Regarding the nature of the allowance drawn by him as Principal,

over

SUBJECT

GPB—10 000—9 12 09

Order thereon

No 4692-3
Edn(C)-10-Lg 1-12-10

In G.O. No 987b-80/Edn-324-06-9 dated the 30th March 1908 sanctioning the Re-organisation of the Colleges to adapt them to the requirements of the new University Regulation, the allowance for the Principalships in each of the two Colleges has been fixed at Rs 200/- This allowance is a local allowance and not a personal allowance. Mr Denham has been appointed Principal only after the date of this order and so the allowance in his case can be treated only as a local allowance

The allowances for the principalships of the two Colleges [redacted] G.O. No. 987b-80 F. [redacted] 342-06-9 [redacted] is strictly a local allowance and [redacted] who was appointed [redacted] subsequent to the date of said G.O. can not claim it as a personal allowance. The case of Mr Dalt, [redacted], stands [redacted] of under a separate Govt order, No. 6223-5 Edn-230 of 5th [redacted]

Put up
12

7.11.10

Put up
26 Nov 10
The Hon'ble Mr. Ed.